## Online Appendix

Competencies of Cognitive Therapy Scale Self Report (CCTS-SR) Item Descriptions CCTS-SR Items

1. Rather than letting a challenge overwhelm me, I imagined how to break the challenge down, develop a plan, and worked on it step-by-step.
2. At times when my mood was at its lowest, I stepped back and recognized that my self evaluations were probably overly negative.
3. I was aware of some specific patterns in negative thinking that have tended to affect the way I interpret new situations.
4. I made an effort to evaluate my negative thoughts by considering just the facts.
5. I examined evidence from my past or present to more carefully consider whether my negative thoughts are accurate or not.
6. When my negative thoughts and emotions really bothered me, I had a specific action plan of things I could do to cope.
7. When I had a negative emotional reaction, I noticed my negative thinking, and took time to evaluate my negative thoughts.
8. When I evaluated a situation as negative, I tried to think of how someone else would view the situation, and I used that to help me decide how to re-evaluate the situation myself.
9. I questioned my original negative thoughts and made an effort to develop alternative conclusions.
10. I recognized that negative feelings are related to negative thoughts I have about myself.
11. When I found myself upset about something, I took note of what I was thinking and worked to develop a more balanced view.
12. I recognized that beliefs I formed on the basis of past events and relationships may no longer be applicable in the same way today.
13. I have been recognizing that inaccurate, negative thoughts and judgments help to maintain my depression.
14. I have been aware of specific patterns in my negative thinking-beliefs that tend to fuel my negative emotions.
15. When I found myself worrying that something bad would happen, I reminded myself that the consequences might not be so terrible even if it did happen.
16. When I made an effort to correct my negative thinking, I was confident that my mood would get better.
17. When I was upset, I made an effort to engage in enjoyable activities that would be likely to improve my mood.
18. When I have felt down, I engaged in activities that were enjoyable or gave me a sense of accomplishment (to try to help my mood).
19. I have been confident that if I made an effort to be less pessimistic, my mood would improve.
20. I caught myself thinking negatively, recognized the negative bias, and re-evaluated the situation.
21. I often caught myself thinking in an irrational way and I actively worked to develop more rational views.
22. When I had a task that I might have had trouble undertaking, I made an effort to break the task up into smaller parts.
23. I took time to review specific thoughts I had during the most upsetting parts of my day.
24. When I blamed myself for something bad that happened, I took time to consider other factors that may have been involved.
25. I believed that working to more carefully evaluate my negative thoughts would probably help ease my depression.
26. I noticed specific automatic thoughts as they occurred.
27. Rather than avoiding a difficult decision, I weighed my options, developed a solution, and followed through with the decision I made.
28. When I got upset, I took time to step back from a situation and consider that my negative thoughts might be inaccurate.
29. When I had a tough interpersonal issue to address, I thought through how to be assertive in addressing the issue, tried to anticipate obstacles I might encounter, and initiated a conversation on the topic.

CCTS-SR 4-Factor, 3-Factor, and 2-Factor EFA Solution with Factor Loadings Using Data from an Online Panel

| 4-Factor Loadings |  |  |  |  | 3-Factor Loadings |  |  |  | 2-Factor Loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | Item | F1 | F2 | F3 | Item | F1 | F2 |
| 1. | 0.62 |  |  |  | 1. | 0.76 |  |  | 1. | 0.54 |  |
| 2. | 0.82 |  |  |  | 2. | 0.79 |  |  | 2. | 0.80 |  |
| 3. | 0.64 |  |  |  | 3. | 0.57 |  | 0.37 | 3. | 0.90 |  |
| 4. | 0.73 |  |  |  | 4. | 0.83 |  |  | 4. | 0.74 |  |
| 5. | 0.72 |  |  |  | 5. | 0.78 |  |  | 5. | 0.83 |  |
| 6. | 0.75 |  |  |  | 6. | 0.74 |  |  | 6. | 0.69 |  |
| 7. | 0.85 |  |  |  | 7. | 0.88 |  |  | 7. | 0.82 |  |
| 8. | 0.63 |  |  |  | 8. | 0.66 |  |  | 8. | 0.72 |  |
| 9. | 0.79 |  |  |  | 9. | 0.79 |  |  | 9. | 0.78 |  |
| 10. | 0.39 |  |  | 0.36 | 10. |  |  | 0.46 | 10. | 0.74 |  |
| 11. | 0.49 |  |  |  | 11. | 0.61 |  |  | 11. | 0.66 |  |
| 12. | 0.34 |  |  |  | 12. | 0.36 |  |  | 12. | 0.68 |  |
| 13. |  |  |  | 0.52 | 13. |  |  | 0.67 | 13. | 0.70 |  |
| 14. |  |  |  | 0.66 | 14. |  |  | 0.83 | 14. | 0.73 |  |
| 15. |  |  | 0.51 |  | 15. |  | 0.49 | 0.35 | 15. | 0.43 | 0.39 |
| 16. |  |  | 0.51 |  | 16. |  | 0.67 |  | 16. |  | 0.62 |
| 17. |  |  | 0.90 |  | 17. |  | 0.88 |  | 17. |  | 0.86 |
| 18. |  |  | 0.86 |  | 18. |  | 0.86 |  | 18. |  | 0.83 |
| 19. |  |  | 0.72 |  | 19. |  | 0.66 |  | 19. |  | 0.63 |
| 20. |  |  | 0.72 |  | 20. | 0.34 | 0.39 |  | 20. | 0.52 | 0.34 |
| 21. |  | 0.65 |  |  | 21. |  |  | 0.55 | 21. | 0.64 |  |
| 22. |  | 0.69 |  |  | 22. |  |  |  | 22. | 0.53 |  |
| 23. |  | 0.70 |  |  | 23. |  |  | 0.41 | 23. | 0.68 |  |

CCTS-SR 4-Factor, 3-Factor, and 2-Factor EFA Solution with Factor Loadings Using Data from an Online Panel

| 4-Factor Loadings |  |  |  |  | 3-Factor Loadings |  |  |  | 2-Factor Loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | Item | F1 | F2 | F3 | Item | F1 | F2 |
| 24. |  | 0.47 |  |  | 24. | 0.39 |  | 0.30 | 24. | 0.69 |  |
| 25. |  | 0.49 |  |  | 25. |  |  | 0.52 | 25. | 0.73 |  |
| 26. |  | 0.57 |  |  | 26. |  |  | 0.47 | 26. | 0.69 |  |
| 27. |  | 0.65 |  |  | 27. | 0.39 | 0.36 |  | 27. | 0.48 | 0.33 |
| 28. | 0.31 | 0.43 |  |  | 28. | 0.47 |  |  | 28. | 0.62 |  |
| 29. |  | 0.48 |  |  | 29. | 0.43 |  |  | 29. | 0.59 |  |

${ }^{1}$ CCTS-SR item numbers correspond to item descriptions in table 1; F, Factor Model sub-factor, $<0.3$ Cutoff Applied

CCTS-SR 4-Factor and 3-Factor Bi-factor Solution with Factor Loadings Using Data from an Online Panel

| 4-Factor Bi-factor Solution Loadings |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3-Factor Bi-factor Solution Loadings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | G | BF1 | BF2 | BF3 | BF4 | Item | G | BF1 | BF2 | BF3 |
| 1. | 0.75 |  |  |  |  | 1. | 0.75 |  |  |  |
| 2. | 0.78 |  |  |  |  | 2. | 0.77 |  |  |  |
| 3. | 0.70 |  |  |  |  | 3. | 0.70 |  |  |  |
| 4. | 0.79 |  |  |  |  | 4. | 0.78 |  |  |  |
| 5. | 0.78 |  |  |  |  | 5. | 0.77 |  |  |  |
| 6. | 0.76 |  |  |  |  | 6. | 0.75 |  |  |  |
| 7. | 0.82 |  |  |  |  | 7. | 0.82 |  |  |  |
| 8. | 0.70 |  |  |  |  | 8. | 0.69 |  |  |  |
| 9. | 0.83 |  |  |  |  | 9. | 0.82 |  |  |  |
| 10. | 0.61 |  |  |  |  | 10. | 0.61 |  |  |  |
| 11. | 0.76 |  |  |  |  | 11. | 0.76 |  |  |  |
| 12. | 0.71 |  |  |  |  | 12. | 0.71 |  |  |  |
| 13. | 0.63 |  | 0.43 |  |  | 13. | 0.63 |  | 0.43 |  |
| 14. | 0.66 |  | 0.59 |  |  | 14. | 0.66 |  | 0.55 |  |
| 15. | 0.71 |  |  |  |  | 15. | 0.71 |  |  |  |
| 16. | 0.70 | 0.44 |  |  |  | 16. | 0.70 | 0.43 |  |  |
| 17. | 0.65 | 0.59 |  |  |  | 17. | 0.65 | 0.59 |  |  |
| 18. | 0.64 | 0.56 |  |  |  | 18. | 0.64 | 0.56 |  |  |
| 19. | 0.68 | 0.44 |  |  |  | 19. | 0.68 | 0.45 |  |  |
| 20. | 0.77 |  |  |  |  | 20. | 0.77 |  |  |  |
| 21. | 0.59 |  |  |  |  | 21. | 0.60 |  |  |  |
| 22. | 0.68 |  |  |  |  | 22. | 0.69 |  |  |  |
| 23. | 0.76 |  |  |  |  | 23. | 0.76 |  |  |  |

CCTS-SR 4-Factor and 3-Factor Bi-factor Solution with Factor Loadings Using Data from an Online Panel

| 4-Factor Bi-factor Solution Loadings |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3-Factor Bi-factor Solution Loadings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | G | BF1 | BF2 | BF3 | BF4 | Item | G | BF1 | BF2 | BF3 |
| 24. | 0.74 |  |  |  |  | 24. | 0.74 |  |  |  |
| 25. | 0.74 |  |  |  | 0.33 | 25. | 0.74 |  |  |  |
| 26. | 0.68 |  |  |  |  | 26. | 0.68 |  |  |  |
| 27. | 0.74 |  |  | -0.36 |  | 27. | 0.75 |  |  |  |
| 28. | 0.76 |  |  |  |  | 28. | 0.76 |  |  |  |
| 29. | 0.74 |  |  |  |  | 29. | 0.74 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ CCTS-SR item numbers correspond to item descriptions in table 1; G, General factor; BF, Bi-Factor Model sub-factor, < 0.3 Cutoff Applied

Associations between the CCTS-SR's general and specific factors and depression, emotional dysregulation, and cognitive reappraisal in a depressed subsample of an online panel ( $\mathrm{N}=165$ )

| Patient Scale | CCTS-G | CCTS-BA | CCTS-CC | PROMIS-D | DERS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROMIS-D | 0.06 | -0.08 | $0.38^{* *}$ | - |  |
| DERS | 0.08 | -0.10 | $0.38^{* *}$ | $0.65^{* *}$ | - |
| ERQ-CR | $0.55^{* *}$ | $0.53^{* *}$ | -0.16 | -0.06 | -0.13 |

${ }^{1}$ CCTS-G, General factor from 2-factor bi-factor model; CCTS-BA, Behavioral Activation sub-factor from 2-factor bi-factor model; CCTS-CC, CBT Comprehension sub-factor from 2-factor bi-factor model; PROMIS-D, Emotional Distress Depression Short-form; ERQ-CR, Emotional Regulation Questionnaire Cognitive Reappraisal subscale; DERS, Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale
$2{ }^{*} p<0.01,{ }^{* *} p<0.001$

Cronbach's Alpha, Alpha if Item Deleted, and Total Item Correlations of the Competencies of Cognitive Therapy Scale Self Report, and Subfactors, using an online panel

| CCTS-SR Full Scale |  |  | $\alpha=0.97$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | $\alpha$ if Item Deleted | Total Item Correlation | Corrected Item Correlation* |
| 1. | 0.97 | 0.75 | 0.73 |
| 2. | 0.97 | 0.77 | 0.75 |
| 3. | 0.97 | 0.70 | 0.67 |
| 4. | 0.97 | 0.77 | 0.75 |
| 5. | 0.97 | 0.77 | 0.75 |
| 6. | 0.97 | 0.76 | 0.74 |
| 7. | 0.97 | 0.81 | 0.79 |
| 8. | 0.97 | 0.70 | 0.67 |
| 9. | 0.97 | 0.82 | 0.80 |
| 10. | 0.97 | 0.63 | 0.60 |
| 11. | 0.97 | 0.76 | 0.74 |
| 12. | 0.97 | 0.73 | 0.71 |
| 13. | 0.97 | 0.66 | 0.63 |
| 14. | 0.97 | 0.69 | 0.67 |
| 15. | 0.97 | 0.75 | 0.73 |
| 16. | 0.97 | 0.75 | 0.73 |
| 17. | 0.97 | 0.71 | 0.68 |
| 18. | 0.97 | 0.70 | 0.67 |
| 19. | 0.97 | 0.73 | 0.71 |
| 20. | 0.97 | 0.80 | 0.78 |
| 21. | 0.97 | 0.61 | 0.57 |
| 22. | 0.97 | 0.69 | 0.68 |
| 23. | 0.97 | 0.76 | 0.75 |

Cronbach's Alpha, Alpha if Item Deleted, and Total Item Correlations of the Competencies of Cognitive Therapy Scale Self Report, and Subfactors, using an online panel

| CCTS-SR Full Scale |  |  | $\alpha=0.97$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Alpha if Item Deleted | Total Item Correlation | Corrected Item Correlation* |
| 24. | 0.97 | 0.74 | 0.72 |
| 25. | 0.97 | 0.75 | 0.73 |
| 26. | 0.97 | 0.69 | 0.66 |
| 27. | 0.97 | 0.74 | 0.72 |
| 28. | 0.97 | 0.76 | 0.74 |
| 29. | 0.97 | 0.75 | 0.72 |
| BA Subfactor |  |  |  |
| Item | Alpha if Item Deleted | Total Item Correlation | Corrected Item Correlation* |
| 16. | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.77 |
| 17. | 0.86 | 0.90 | 0.82 |
| 18. | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.79 |
| 19. | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.76 |
| CC Subfactor |  |  |  |
| Item | Alpha if Item Deleted | Total Item Correlation | Corrected Item Correlation* |
| 13. | 0.72 | 0.92 | 0.68 |
| 14. | 0.63 | 0.91 | 0.68 |

*Item correlation of specific item to remaining items within scale

